

I. Preliminary Results

In this section, we establish a few preliminary results which will be used later. The first three are corollaries to the following:

Lemma 1. Let $f : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and define

$$F(m) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ (k, m) = 1}} f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right),$$

and

$$F(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

Then

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) F\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Möbius Inversion, since

$$\begin{aligned} F(n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k, n) = d}} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (\frac{k}{d}, \frac{n}{d}) = 1}} f\left(\frac{k/d}{n/d}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} F\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} F(d). \end{aligned}$$

□

For the first corollary, we consider the second Bernoulli polynomial $P_2(x) := \{x\}^2 - \{x\} + \frac{1}{6}$ where $\{x\} = x - [x]$ denotes the fractional part of x .

Corollary 1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k,n)=1}} P_2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{6n} \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \text{ prime}}} (1-p).$$

More generally, for d a divisor of n ,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k,n)=d}} P_2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \frac{d}{6n} \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \text{ prime} \\ p \nmid \frac{n}{d}}} (1-p).$$

Proof. One can easily verify (from the definition) that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{6n}$ and

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) d^r = \prod_{p|n} (1-p^r). \text{ The result now follows from Lemma 1, with } f(x) = P_2(x). \quad \square$$

For the second corollary, we consider a special case of the Meyer sum. The Meyer sum is defined as

$$s(h, k; x, y) = \sum_{\mu \pmod{k}} \left(\left(\frac{\mu + y}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(h \frac{\mu + y}{k} + x \right) \right)$$

where $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\left((z) \right) = \begin{cases} \{z\} - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } z \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

(here, $\{z\} = z - [z]$ denotes the fractional part of z). We will be interested in Meyer sums of the form $s(c, a; \frac{a}{c}, 0)$.

If $(a, nc) = 1$, then one can show (from the definition) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n s\left(a, c; 0, \frac{k}{n}\right) = s(a, nc) \quad (1)$$

where $s(h, k)$ denotes the usual Dedekind sum (so $s(h, k) = s(h, k; 0, 0)$). The reciprocity law for Meyer sums states that, if $(h, k) = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} s(h, k; x, y) + s(k, h; y, x) \\ = -\frac{1}{4} \delta(x) \delta(y) + \left((x) \right) \left((y) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{h}{k} P_2(y) + \frac{1}{hk} P_2(hy + kx) + \frac{k}{h} P_2(x) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \in Z \\ 0 & \text{if } z \notin Z \end{cases}$$

([20], ch. 5). Since $(a, c) = 1$, we have (using $h = a, k = c, x = 0, y = \frac{k}{n}$)

$$s\left(a, c; 0, \frac{k}{n}\right) = -s\left(c, a; \frac{k}{n}, 0\right) - \frac{1}{4}\delta\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + \frac{a}{2c}P_2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{2ac}P_2\left(\frac{ak}{n}\right) + \frac{c}{12a}; \quad (2)$$

and since $(a, n) = 1$ we also have (using $h = a, k = c, x = 0 = y$)

$$s(a, nc) = -s(nc, a) - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{a}{12nc} + \frac{1}{12nac} + \frac{nc}{12a}. \quad (3)$$

So, combining (1), (2), and (3)

$$\begin{aligned} & -s(nc, a) - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{a}{12nc} + \frac{1}{12nac} + \frac{nc}{12a} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left[-s\left(c, a; \frac{k}{n}, 0\right) - \frac{1}{4}\delta\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + \frac{a}{2c}P_2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{2ac}P_2\left(\frac{ak}{n}\right) + \frac{c}{12a} \right] \\ &= \left[\sum_{k=1}^n -s\left(c, a; \frac{k}{n}, 0\right) \right] - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{a}{2c} \frac{1}{6n} + \frac{nc}{12a} + \frac{1}{2ac} \sum_{k=1}^n P_2\left(\frac{ka}{n}\right); \end{aligned}$$

since $(a, n) = 1$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^n P_2\left(\frac{ka}{n}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n P_2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{6n}$, so the above becomes

$$\sum_{k=1}^n s\left(c, a; \frac{k}{n}, 0\right) = s(nc, a).$$

Now, letting $F(m) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ (k, m) = 1}} s\left(c, a; \frac{k}{m}, 0\right)$ and $F(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n s\left(c, a; \frac{k}{n}, 0\right) = s(nc, a)$,

we get

Corollary 2. If $(a, nc) = 1$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k, n) = 1}} s\left(c, a; \frac{k}{n}, 0\right) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) s\left(\frac{nc}{d}, a\right).$$

More generally, for d a divisor of n , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k, n) = d}} s\left(c, a; \frac{k}{n}, 0\right) = \sum_{e|\frac{n}{d}} \mu(e) s\left(\frac{nc}{de}, a\right).$$

For the third corollary, we let $f(x) = \lfloor ax \rfloor$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, let $(a, n) = 1$ and consider

$$F(m) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ (k, m) = 1}} \left\lfloor a \frac{k}{m} \right\rfloor;$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} F(n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left\lfloor a \frac{k}{n} \right\rfloor \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left\lfloor a \frac{k}{n} \right\rfloor + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\lfloor a \frac{n-k}{n} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2a + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\left\lfloor a \frac{k}{n} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor a - a \frac{k}{n} \right\rfloor \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2a + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\left\lfloor a \frac{k}{n} \right\rfloor + a + \left\lfloor -a \frac{k}{n} \right\rfloor \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2a + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a - 1) \right) \quad (\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor -x \rfloor = -1 \text{ for } x \notin \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \frac{a-1}{2}(n+1) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

we get

Corollary 3. If $(a, n) = 1$ and $n > 1$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k, n) = 1}} \left\lfloor a \frac{k}{n} \right\rfloor = \frac{a-1}{2} \phi(n).$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ (k, n) = 1}} \left\lfloor a \frac{k}{n} \right\rfloor &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) F\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left[\frac{a-1}{2} \left(\frac{n}{d} + 1\right) + 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{a-1}{2} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d} \\ &= \frac{a-1}{2} \phi(n). \end{aligned}$$

□

Note: One can also prove Corollary 3 directly by using the fact that $\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor -x \rfloor = -1$ for $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

For the final result, recall that the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$ is defined as follows: if p is an odd prime, then $\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$ is defined as

$$\left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ 1 & \text{if } b \text{ is a (nonzero) square modulo } p \\ -1 & \text{if } b \text{ is not a square modulo } p. \end{cases}$$

The definition is then extended to $\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$, where a is odd, by taking the prime factorization of a : $a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, and defining $\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{p_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(\frac{b}{p_s}\right)^{\alpha_s}$ (see [10]).

Lemma 2. Let $D \in \mathbb{N}$ with prime factorization $D = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}$, and let $a \in \mathbb{N}$ be relatively prime to D . Then $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{D=ef} \mu(e) \left(\frac{f}{a}\right) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ if and only if $t = 1$ and $\left(\frac{p}{a}\right) = -1$, i.e. $D = p^\alpha$ for some prime p with $\left(\frac{p}{a}\right) = -1$.

Proof. Since the function μ and the Legendre function $\left(\frac{\cdot}{a}\right)$ are multiplicative, so is $F(n) = \sum_{n=ef} \mu(e) \left(\frac{f}{a}\right)$. Thus, F is determined by its values on powers of primes. For p^α , we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(p^\alpha) &= \mu(1) \left(\frac{p^\alpha}{a}\right) + \mu(p) \left(\frac{p^{\alpha-1}}{a}\right) + \cdots + \mu(p^\alpha) \left(\frac{1}{a}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{p^\alpha}{a}\right) - \left(\frac{p^{\alpha-1}}{a}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{p^\alpha}{a}\right) \left[1 - \left(\frac{p}{a}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{D=ef} \mu(e) \left(\frac{f}{a}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\substack{p|D \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(\frac{p}{a}\right)^\alpha \left[1 - \left(\frac{p}{a}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{D}{a}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|D \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{p}{a}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $\left(\frac{p}{a}\right) = 1$ for any prime p dividing D , then $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{D=ef} \mu(e) \left(\frac{f}{a}\right) = 0$. Suppose that

$\left(\frac{p}{a}\right) = -1$ for all primes p dividing D ; then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{D}{a}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|D \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{p}{a}\right)\right] &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{D}{a}\right)2^t \\ &= 2^{t-1}\left(\frac{D}{a}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The only way that this integer could be odd is if $t = 1$, as claimed. □