

## A Multiparameter, Zero Density Subsequence Ergodic Theorem.

Kurt Cogswell (ma19@sdsumus.sdstate.edu),

South Dakota State University

Brookings, SD 57006

**Abstract.** We generalize a result of L. Sucheston on obtaining multiparameter ergodic theorems from their single parameter versions. This result is then employed to prove a multiparameter, subsequence ergodic theorem for operator averages along special zero density subsequences.

## 1 Introduction

In [9], L. Sucheston presents a method for obtaining multiparameter ergodic theorems from their single parameter versions. In the second section of this article, we present a generalization of this method.

In [2] and [10], J. Bourgain and M. Weirld establish the a.e. convergence of averages of the form  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f \circ \tau^{n_i}$ , where  $\tau$  is an automorphism of a finite measure space  $(X, F, \mu)$ ,  $f \in L_p(X, F, \mu)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is either the sequence of primes, or a polynomial sequence, the latter being defined as the sequence of values  $(\phi(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  assumed by a polynomial  $\phi$  of degree  $\geq 2$ , having integer coefficients. We call such sequences *B-sequences*. If we define the density of a sequence  $\mathbf{n}$  as  $d(\mathbf{n}) = \lim_N \frac{|\mathbf{n} \cap [1, N]|}{N}$ , we see that *B-sequences* have zero density.

In the third section of this article, we note that the work of R. Jones, J. Olsen, and Weirld allows us to move the results of Bourgain and Weirld to more general, operator theoretic settings. In conjunction with the results of section two, this will allow us to obtain a multiparameter, operator theoretic ergodic theorem for averages taken along *B-sequences*.

## 2 Obtaining multiparameter theorems

Let  $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{b}}, \preceq)$ ,  $(\mathbf{C}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{c}}, \preceq)$ , and  $(\mathbf{D}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{d}}, \preceq)$  be Banach lattices of functions over a common measure space  $(X, F, \mu)$ , so that convergence in order corresponds to a.e. convergence. Suppose that  $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  have  $\sigma$ -order continuous norms. (Recall that we say  $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{b}}, \preceq)$  has  $\sigma$ -order continuous norm if  $\|b_n\|_{\mathbf{b}} \downarrow 0$  whenever  $b_n \downarrow \theta$ . Examples of Banach lattices with  $\sigma$ -order continuous norms are  $L_p(X, F, \mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). If  $T: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$

and  $S: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , we say  $S$  *dominates*  $T$  if  $|Tb| \preceq S|b| \forall b \in \mathbf{B}$ , and say that  $T$  is *positively dominated*.

Let  $\{D, \preceq\}$  be a countable directed set. Let  $\{(d, n) \in D \times \mathbf{N}, \preceq'\}$  be the countable directed set with order defined by  $(d_1, n_1) \preceq' (d_2, n_2)$  iff  $d_1 \preceq d_2$  and  $n_1 \leq n_2$ .

Let  $\{U_d, d \in D\}$  be a net of continuous, linear operators  $U_d: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  with  $\lim_d U_d c = U_\infty c$  a.e.  $\forall c \in \mathbf{C}$ . Let  $\{V_d, d \in D\}$  be a net of positive, linear (hence continuous) operators  $V_d: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ , with each  $V_d$  dominating the corresponding  $U_d$ . Define the maximal operator associated with the net  $(V_d)$  as  $M_V c = \sup_d |V_d c|$ , and suppose that  $M_V: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ . Note that it is sufficient, but not necessary, to have  $\|M_V c\|_d \leq K_c \cdot \|c\|_c \forall c \in \mathbf{C}$  and some  $K_c < \infty$ .

Let  $\{T_n, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a sequence of continuous, linear operators  $T_n: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  with  $\lim_n T_n b = T_\infty b$  a.e.  $\forall b \in \mathbf{B}$ . Let  $\{S_n, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a sequence of positive, linear (hence continuous) operators  $S_n: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ; with each  $S_n$  dominating the corresponding  $T_n$ , and  $M_S: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  (where  $M_S b = \sup_n |S_n b|$ ).

**Lemma 1.** Given the above hypothesis,  $M_V$  has the properties:

- i)  $M_V$  is a positive map from  $\mathbf{C}$  into  $\mathbf{D}$ ;
- ii) for  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $f \succeq \theta$ ,  $M_V(\alpha f) = \alpha \cdot (M_V f)$ ;
- iii) for  $f \succeq \theta$  and  $g \succeq \theta$ ,  $M_V(f + g) \preceq M_V f + M_V g$ , and;
- iv)  $M_V$  is continuous at  $\theta$ , with  $M_V \theta = \theta$ .

**Proof.** i), ii), and iii) are clear. iv) Each  $V_d$  is linear, so  $M_V \theta = \theta$ . Suppose  $M_V$  is not continuous at  $\theta$ . Then  $\exists (f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{C}$  such that  $\| |f_n| \|_c < \frac{1}{n^3} \forall n \in \mathbf{N}$ , while  $\|M_V f_{n_k}\|_d > \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  and all  $n_k$  in some sequence  $(n_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ . Since  $M_V$  is positive,  $\|M_V |f_{n_k}|\|_d > \|M_V f_{n_k}\|_d > \epsilon \forall n_k$ . Thus, we may take the functions  $f_n$  to be non-negative. By i),  $\|n \cdot f_n\|_c < \frac{1}{n^2}$  and  $\|M_V(n_k \cdot f_{n_k})\|_d = \|n_k \cdot (M_V f_{n_k})\|_d = n_k \cdot \|M_V f_{n_k}\|_d > n_k \cdot \epsilon \forall n_k$ . Since  $\|\sum_{n=1}^\infty n \cdot f_n\|_c \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|n \cdot f_n\|_c \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$ ,  $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n \cdot f_n$  exists in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Each  $f_n$  is non-negative, so  $f \succeq n_k \cdot f_{n_k} \forall n_k$ .  $M_V$  is positive, so  $n_k \cdot \epsilon \leq \|M_V(n_k \cdot f_{n_k})\|_d \leq \|M_V f\|_d \forall k$ . This contradicts the fact that  $M_V: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ . Thus,  $M_V$  is continuous at  $\theta$ .

**Corollary 2.**  $M_S$  is a special case of  $M_V$ , and thus has properties i)–iv).

**Theorem 3.** Given the above hypotheses, we have:

- i)  $\lim_{(d,n)} U_d T_n f = U_\infty T_\infty f \forall f \in \mathbf{B}$ , and;

- ii)  $M_{VS}: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ , where  $M_{VS}f = \sup_{(d,n)} |V_d S_n f|$ .

**Proof.** i)  $\overline{\lim}_{(d,n)} |U_d T_n f - U_\infty T_\infty f| \leq \overline{\lim}_{(d,n)} |U_d T_n f - U_d T_\infty f| + \overline{\lim}_{(d,n)} |U_d T_\infty f - U_\infty T_\infty f| = \overline{\lim}_{(d,n)} |U_d(T_n f - T_\infty f)| + \theta \preceq \overline{\lim}_{(d,n)} V_d |T_n f - T_\infty f|$ .

For each  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $g_k = \sup_{n \geq k} |T_n f - T_\infty f| \preceq 2 \cdot M_S |f| \in \mathbf{C}$ . Note that  $g_k \downarrow \theta$  and  $\|g_k\|_c \downarrow 0$ . For each fixed  $k$ ,  $\overline{\lim}_{(d,n)} V_d |T_n f - T_\infty f| \preceq \overline{\lim}_{(d,n)} V_d g_k$ , since each  $V_d$  is positive. Then  $\overline{\lim}_{(d,n)} |U_d T_n f - U_\infty T_\infty f| \preceq \overline{\lim}_{(d,n)} V_d g_k \leq M_V g_k \forall k$ . Now let  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .  $M_V$  is positive, and  $g_k \downarrow \theta$ , so  $M_V g_k \downarrow g$  for some  $g \succeq \theta$ . By the lemma,  $\|M_V g_k\|_d \downarrow 0$ . Thus,  $g = \theta$  a.e., and we have  $\overline{\lim}_{(d,n)} |U_d T_n f - U_\infty T_\infty f| = \theta \Rightarrow \lim_{(d,n)} U_d T_n f = U_\infty T_\infty f$  a.e.  $\forall f \in \mathbf{B}$ .

ii) For any  $f \in \mathbf{B}$ , we have  $M_{VS}f = \sup_{(d,n)} |V_d S_n f| \leq \sup_{(d,n)} V_d |S_n f| \leq \sup_d V_d (\sup_n |S_n f|) = \sup_d V_d (M_S f) = M_V (M_S f) \in \mathbf{D}$ . Thus,  $M_{VS}f \in \mathbf{D}$ .

Theorem 3 will allow us to inductively obtain multiparameter theorems from their single parameter counterparts. Sucheston presented this result in [9] for positive operators, and thus for positively dominated operators, provided that we have a.e. convergence for the dominating operators. Here, we have removed the latter requirement. In [8], Olsen noted that the result may be used to prove multiparameter weighted theorems, and thus multiparameter subsequence theorems along sequences with non-zero density. Here, we deal with zero density  $B$ -sequences.

### 3 Result

Fix any  $p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $(X, F, \mu)$  be a finite measure space,  $\tau: X \rightarrow X$  be an automorphism, and  $T: L_p(X, F, \mu) \rightarrow L_p(X, F, \mu)$ . We say  $T_\tau: L_p \rightarrow L_p$ ,  $T_\tau f = f \circ \tau$  is the operator on  $L_p$  induced by  $\tau$ . If  $\mathbf{n} = (n_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence of positive integers, we define  $A_k(\mathbf{n}, T)f = \frac{1}{k} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k T^{n_i} f$  and  $M(\mathbf{n}, T)f = \sup_k A_k f$  for  $f \in L_p$ .

We say the operator  $T$ :

i) admits a dominated estimate in  $L_p$  along  $\mathbf{n}$  with constant  $C$  if  $\|M(\mathbf{n}, T)f\|_p \leq C \cdot \|f\|_p \forall f \in L_p$  and some  $C < \infty$ .

ii) is power bounded with power bound  $K$  if  $\|T^n f\|_p \leq K \cdot \|f\|_p \forall n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $f \in L_p$ , and some  $K < \infty$ .

iii) is a quasi-isometry if  $0 < C_1 \|f\|_p^p \leq \frac{1}{L_n} \sum_{k=0}^{L_n-1} \|T^k f\|_p^p \leq C_2 \|f\|_p^p < \infty$  for some  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , and some increasing sequence of positive integers  $\mathbf{L} = (L_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ .

iv) is a Lamperti operator if it maps functions with disjoint supports to functions with disjoint supports.

v) is a Dunford-Schwartz operator if  $\|T\|_1 \leq 1$  and  $\|T\|_\infty \leq 1$ .

As mentioned in the introduction, if  $\mathbf{n}$  is a  $B$ -sequence, Bourgain and Weirld have established the a.e. convergence of  $A_k(\mathbf{n}, T_\tau)f \forall f \in L_p$ . They have also shown that  $T_\tau$  admits a dominated estimate in  $L_p$  along  $\mathbf{n}$ .

In [5], R. Jones and J. Olsen show that if there exists an aperiodic, positive invertible isometry  $S: L_p \rightarrow L_p$  that admits a dominated estimate in  $L_p$  along  $\mathbf{n}$  with constant  $C$ ; then any  $T: L_p \rightarrow L_p$  which is dominated by a positive contraction also admits a dominated estimate in  $L_p$  along  $\mathbf{n}$  with constant  $C$ , and if  $T: L_p \rightarrow L_p$  is a power bounded Lamperti operator with power bound  $K$ , then  $T$  admits a dominated estimate in  $L_p$  along  $\mathbf{n}$  with constant  $K \cdot C$ . (The former builds on the work of Akcoglu in [1] and Ionescu-Tulcea in [4]; the latter on that of C.-H. Kan in [7].) Recall that a Dunford-Schwartz operator is dominated by its linear modulus, which is a positive  $L_p$  contraction; and that a Lamperti operator  $T$  is dominated by another Lamperti operator  $S$  satisfying  $|Sf| = T|f| \forall f \in L_p$ . ( $S$  is once again the linear modulus of  $T$ .) Taking  $S$  to be the aperiodic, positive, invertible isometry induced by any aperiodic automorphism  $\tau$ , we see that positive contractions, Dunford-Schwartz operators, and power-bounded Lamperti operators admit dominated estimates along  $B$ -sequences. This is relevant to us, in that it means that  $M(\mathbf{n}, T)$  maps  $L_p$  to  $L_p$  whenever  $\mathbf{n}$  is a  $B$ -sequence and  $T$  is either a positive contraction, a Dunford-Schwartz operator, or a power-bounded Lamperti operator.

In [5], Jones and Olsen also show that if we have a.e. convergence of  $A_k(\mathbf{n}, T_\tau)f \forall f \in L_p$  for some automorphism  $\tau$ , then we have a.e. convergence of  $A_k(\mathbf{n}, T)f \forall$  bounded  $f \in L_p$  when  $T$  is a Dunford-Schwartz operator. Bounded functions constitute a dense subset in  $L_p$ . Thus, by Banach's principle, we have a.e. convergence of  $A_k(\mathbf{n}, T)f \forall f \in L_p$  when  $T$  is a Dunford-Schwartz operator and  $\mathbf{n}$  is a  $B$ -sequence.

In [6], Jones, Olsen, and Weirld establish a.e. convergence of  $A_k(\mathbf{n}, T)f \forall f \in L_p$  whenever  $\mathbf{n}$  is a  $B$ -sequence and  $T$  is either a positive contraction, or a Lamperti quasi-isometry.

Let us call an operator *good* if it is a positive contraction, a Dunford-Schwartz operator, or a power bounded, Lamperti quasi-isometry. Then, for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\mathbf{n}$  a  $B$ -sequence, and  $T$  a good operator, we have a.e. convergence of  $A_k(\mathbf{n}, T)f \forall f \in L_p$ , and  $M(\mathbf{n}, T): L_p \rightarrow L_p$ . Further, each good operator is dominated by a positive operator which admits a dominated estimate along

$B$ -sequences.

We are now in a position to employ Theorem 3 to prove our principal result, which we state as Theorem 4.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(X, F, \mu)$  be a finite measure space, and  $L_p = L_p(X, F, \mu)$  for some fixed  $p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Choose  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , let  $T_i: L_p \rightarrow L_p$  be a good operator;  $S_i$  be a positive operator which dominates  $T_i$ , and which admits a dominated estimate along  $B$ -sequences;  $\mathbf{n}_i = (n_{i,k_i})_{k_i=1}^\infty$  be a  $B$ -sequence; and define  $\lim_{k_i} A_{k_i}(\mathbf{n}_i, T_i)f = A_{i\infty}f$ . Define  $M_m f = \sup_{k_1, \dots, k_m} |A_{k_1}(\mathbf{n}_1, S_1) \cdots A_{k_m}(\mathbf{n}_m, S_m)f|$ .

Then  $M_m: L_p \rightarrow L_p$  and  $\lim_{k_1} \cdots \lim_{k_m} A_{k_1}(\mathbf{n}_1, T_1) \cdots A_{k_m}(\mathbf{n}_m, T_m)f = A_{1\infty} \cdots A_{m\infty}f \forall f \in L_p$ , the limit being taken as  $k_1, \dots, k_m \rightarrow \infty$  independently.

**Proof.** We proceed by induction. From our previous discussion, the theorem holds for  $m = 1$ . Suppose it is true for  $r$  operators. Then, in Theorem 3, let  $D$  be  $\{\mathbf{N}^r, \preceq\}$ , with  $(s_1, \dots, s_r) \preceq (t_1, \dots, t_r)$  iff  $s_1 \leq t_1, \dots, s_r \leq t_r$ . Let  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{L}_p$ . Let  $\{U_d, d \in D\}$  be the net of operators of the form  $A_{k_1}(\mathbf{n}_1, T_1) \cdots A_{k_r}(\mathbf{n}_r, T_r)$ , with  $(k_1, \dots, k_r) \in D$ . Let  $\{V_d, d \in D\}$  be the net of operators of the form  $A_{k_1}(\mathbf{n}_1, S_1) \cdots A_{k_r}(\mathbf{n}_r, S_r)$ , with  $(k_1, \dots, k_r) \in D$ . Let  $T_n$  be  $A_n(\mathbf{n}_{r+1}, T_{r+1})$ , and  $S_n$  be  $A_n(\mathbf{n}_{r+1}, S_{r+1})$ . By the induction hypothesis, we have  $\lim_d U_d f = A_{1\infty} \cdots A_{r\infty}f \forall f \in L_p$ , and  $M_V: L_p \rightarrow L_p$ ; and we also have  $\lim_n T_n f = A_{r+1, \infty}f \forall f \in L_p$ , and  $M_S: L_p \rightarrow L_p$ . Then, by Theorem 3, we have our result for  $r + 1$  operators, and thus for  $m$  operators.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Dr. James Olsen for suggesting this line of investigation, and Dr. Arkady Templeman for suggesting the possibility of the generalization obtained in Section 2.

## References.

1. M. A. Akcoglu, *A Pointwise Ergodic Theorem in  $L_p$ -Spaces*, *Canad. J. Math.* **27**(1975), 1075–1082.
2. J. Bourgain, *On the Pointwise Ergodic Theorem for Arithmetic Sets*, IHES publication, October, 1989.
3. N. E. Frangos and L. Sucheston, *On Multiparameter Ergodic and Martingale Theorems in Infinite Measure Spaces*, *Probab. Theor. Rel. Fields* **71**(1986), 477–490.
4. A. Ionescu-Tulcea, *Ergodic Properties of Isometries in  $L_p$  Spaces*,  $1 < p < \infty$ , *Bull. A.M.S.* **70**(1964), 366–371.

5. R. L. Jones and J. H. Olsen, *Subsequence Ergodic Theorems for Operators*, Israel J. Math., to appear.
6. R. L. Jones, J. H. Olsen and M. Wierdl, *Subsequence Ergodic Theorems for  $L^p$  Contractions*, Trans. A.M.S., to appear.
7. C.-H. Kan, *Ergodic Properties of Lamperti Operators*, Canad. J. Math. **30**(1978), 1206–1214.
8. J. Olsen, *Multi-Parameter Weighted Ergodic Theorems From Their Single Parameter Versions*. In: Almost Everywhere Convergence, Academic Press, 1989, 297–303.
9. L. Sucheston, *On One Parameter Proofs of Almost Sure Convergence of Multiparameter Processes*, ZW **63**(1983), 43–49.
10. M. Wierdl, *Pointwise Ergodic Theorem Along the Prime Numbers*, Israel J. Math. **64**(1988), 315–336.